## GCSE MARKING SCHEME

AUTUMN 2018

GCSE<br>MATHEMATICS - NUMERACY UNIT 1 - INTERMEDIATE TIER 3310U30-1

## INTRODUCTION

This marking scheme was used by WJEC for the 2018 examination. It was finalised after detailed discussion at examiners' conferences by all the examiners involved in the assessment. The conference was held shortly after the paper was taken so that reference could be made to the full range of candidates' responses, with photocopied scripts forming the basis of discussion. The aim of the conference was to ensure that the marking scheme was interpreted and applied in the same way by all examiners.

It is hoped that this information will be of assistance to centres but it is recognised at the same time that, without the benefit of participation in the examiners' conference, teachers may have different views on certain matters of detail or interpretation.

WJEC regrets that it cannot enter into any discussion or correspondence about this marking scheme.

## WJEC GCSE MATHEMATICS - NUMERACY (3310U30-1)

## AUTUMN 2018 MARK SCHEME

| GCSE Mathematics - Numeracy Unit 1: Intermediate Tier | Mark | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(a) 4 (cm), 5 (cm) and 6 (cm) in any order | B1 |  |
| (b) $4 \times 4+4 \times 5+4 \times 6$ or equivalent $60 \text { (cm) }$ <br> $3 \times 60 \div 2$ or equivalent $90(p) \text { or } £ 0.9(0)$ | M1 <br> A1 <br> M1 <br> A1 | FT 'their width, height and length' provided 3 values are used <br> FT $1.5 \times$ 'their 60 (cm)' (irrespective if dimensionally incorrect) provided derived from use of 'their 3 values' <br> Depends on both M marks Allow £0.90p |
| 1(b) Alternative method: <br> $4 \times 1.5,5 \times 1.5$ and $6 \times 1.5$ $\begin{array}{r} 6(p), 7.5(p) \text { and } 9(p) \\ 4 \times(6+7.5+9) \\ 90(p) \text { or } £ 0.9(0) \end{array}$ | M1 <br> A1 <br> M1 <br> A1 | FT 'their width, height and length' provided 3 values are used <br> FT use of 'their 6, 7.5 and 9 ' Allow £0.90p Accept FT rounded or truncated to pence, may be expressed in £s |
| 2(a)(i) 3 | B1 |  |
| 2(a)(ii) 2 | B1 |  |
| 2(b) Idea that 5 books weigh $1750(\mathrm{~g})$ 350 (g) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} 1 \\ & \mathrm{~B} 1 \end{aligned}$ | ISW |
| $\begin{array}{cl} \text { 2(c)(i) } 10 x=2 x+3200 & \text { or } 8 x=3200 \\ \text { or } x=3200 \div 8 & \text { or equivalent } \end{array}$ | B1 | ISW, although allow $x=8 / 3200$ if followed by $x=400$. <br> B0 for $x=8 / 3200$ or ' 400 ' alone <br> Allow $x=400$ <br> Accept inclusion of unit ' $g$ ' throughout <br> Do not accept $x=1 / 8$ of 3200 |
| $\text { 2(c)(ii) } 12 \times 3200 \div(10-2)$ <br> or equivalent shown in stages $4800 \text { (g) }$ | M1 <br> A1 | FT from 'their first equation' in the form $a x=b x+c$ |

3(a) Method of comparison, e.g. per 1 tile or for 5 tiles, or similar

Correctly evaluated comparison for 2 of the 3 packages

Correctly evaluated comparison for all packages, may be different methods for different stages

Conclusion '(box of) 40 (middle) is best value for money'

M1 $\quad$ Needs to show attempt to compare at least 2 of the 3 packages, e.g.
Comparing 100 tiles:
100 tiles for $£ 29$ with

- 40 tiles: $£ 11.20 \times 2.5$ (= $£ 28$ ), or
- 25 tiles: $£ 7.50 \times 4(=£ 30)$

Ignore incorrect units

| Number <br> of tiles | per <br> 1 tile | per <br> 5 tiles | per <br> 200 tiles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25 | 30 p | $£ 1.50$ | $£ 60$ |
| 40 | 28 p | $£ 1.40$ | $£ 56$ |
| 100 | 29 p | $£ 1.45$ | $£ 58$ |


| Number <br> of tiles | Tiles per pence |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25 | $25 / 750$ | $1 / 30$ | $0.0333 \ldots$ |
| 40 | $40 / 1120$ | $1 / 28$ | $0.0357 \ldots$ |
| 100 | $100 / 2900$ | $1 / 29$ | $0.03448 \ldots$ |

( $\times 100$ for tiles per $£$ )
A1 If units are given they must be correct Consistent units that are not obviously incorrect are required, or allow no units given
Depends on at least M1, A1 previously awarded

E1 $\quad$ FT provided all three boxes are appropriately compared (all three or as two pairs) and at least M1 A1 previously awarded

Sight of looking at the difference in costs is likely to be MO AO AO

For OC1, candidates will be expected to: - present their response in a structured way

- explain to the reader what they are doing at each step of their response
- lay out their explanations and working in a way that is clear and logical
- write a conclusion that draws together their results and explains what their answer means

Do not penalise incorrect units, only consider if units are not given

For W1, candidates will be expected to:

- show all their working
- make few, if any, errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar
- use correct mathematical form in their working
- use appropriate terminology, units, etc.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
3(b) Working with 3 m by 4 m : \\
- 30 tiles by 20 tiles, or \\
- 15 tiles by 40 tiles \\
600 (tiles)
\end{tabular} \& M2

A1 \& | Ignore further inappropriate working |
| :--- |
| M1 clearly showing (accepting shown on a diagram) any one of |
| - $300 \div 10=30$ |
| - $300 \div 20=15$ |
| - $400 \div 10=40$ |
| - $400 \div 20=20$ |
| CAO |
| If no marks, award SC1 for a misuse of units with |
| - division of 10 and 20 by 3 and 4 in either order, or |
| - $10 \times 20$ divided by $3 \times 4$ | <br>

\hline | 3(b) Alternative method: |
| :--- |
| $12\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \div 0.02\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ or $120000\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right) \div 200\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ or $\frac{300 \times 400}{10 \times 20}$ | \& M2

A1 \& | Change areas to consistent units M1 for $10 \times 20=200$ AND $3(00) \times 4(00)=12(0000)$ |
| :--- |
| or $3 \times 4=12$ AND $0.1 \times 0.2=0.02$ |
| CAO | <br>

\hline | 3(c) Selecting the 3 boxes: |
| :--- |
| A (Square) |
| B (Rhombus) |
| D (Right-angled triangle) | \& B2 \& | In any order |
| :--- |
| B1 for selecting 2 of the 3 correct boxes |
| B0 for selecting more than 3 boxes | <br>

\hline 4(a)(i) 10(\%) and 40(\%) in either order 5(\%) \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B} 1 \\
& \text { B1 }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& <br>

\hline | 4(a)(ii) A suitable explanation based on any one of: |
| :--- |
| - no correlation |
| - no data for towns above 7000 |
| - small sample |
| e.g. |
| 'no correlation', |
| 'no pattern (to the results)', |
| 'no relationship (between the number of |
| people and the percentage of rubbish)' | \& E1 \& | Accept, e.g. |
| :--- |
| 'outside the range of data collected', 'only data between 2000 to 7000 people', 'results vary too much', 'the data stops at 7000' |
| Allow, e.g. 'not enough data', 'no data for a town this big', 'was only done for first week in July', 'there are only 8 towns' |
| Do not accept, e.g. 'no town with 9000 people', 'no data at 9000 people', 'it is off the graph', 'graph doesn't reach 9000 people', 'not suitable', 'may not be accurate', 'unpredictable', 'no data for 8000', 'each town is different', 'no data for a town of this size', '9000 is not a small town' | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| 4(b) $130230 \times 4$ or equivalent 520920 (tonnes) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | For sight of appropriate calculation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(a)(i) Listing common multiples showing at least: <br> - 42,84 and <br> - $24,48,72$ <br> OR $2 \times 3 \times 7$ (=42) AND $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3$ (=24) | B1 | Accept $6 \times 7$ (=42) AND $4 \times 6$ (=24), i.e. must have sight of factors which could lead to LCM being given, so do not accept e.g. $2 \times 21$ and $2 \times 12$ without further breakdown <br> Accept $\mathbf{6 \times 4 \times 7}$ or $\mathbf{6 \times 2 \times 2 \times 7}$ <br> If no marks, award SC1 for sight of $4 \times 42=168 \quad$ AND $7 \times 24=168$ (as least not shown), or indication that number of buttons and pins both 168 |
| 5(a)(ii) 168 | B1 | CAO |
| 5(b)(i) (Sticky tape needed is) <br> $2.5 \times 4 \times 42$ or $2.5 \times 7 \times 24$ or $2.5 \times 168$ $(=420 \mathrm{~cm})$ <br> (Number of rolls of sticky tape is) $(2.5 \times 4 \times 42) \div 60 \text { or }(2.5 \times 7 \times 24) \div 60$ <br> or $(2.5 \times 168) \div 60$ or $420 \div 60$ <br> 7 (rolls needed) | M1 M1 A1 | FT 'their 168' from (a)(ii) <br> FT 'their 168' from (a)(ii) <br> Allow sight of repeated addition of 60s, need to show 60, 120, 180, 240, 300 <br> Only FT if number of rolls is $>1$ <br> Must be rounded up to a whole number of rolls <br> Allow 2.5 cm rounded to 2 cm or 3 cm , FT as with use of 2.5 cm <br> (As 3cm is already rounded up, allow number of rolls rounded down) |


| 5(b)(i) Alternative method: <br> $60 \div 2.5$ (= 24 badges per roll of tape) <br> (Number of rolls of sticky tape is) $168 \div(60 \div 2.5) \text { or } 168 \div 24$ <br> 7 (rolls needed) | M1 <br> M1 <br> A1 | FT 'their 168 ' from (a)(ii) <br> Only FT if number of rolls is $>1$ Must be rounded up to a whole number of rolls <br> Allow 2.5 cm rounded to 2 cm or $3 \mathrm{~cm}, F T$ as with use of 2.5 cm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Use of 2cm | Use of 3 cm |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \div 2 \quad(=30) \end{array}$ | $60 \div 3 \quad(=20)$ | M1 |
|  |  | $168 \div 30$ | $168 \div 20$ | M1 |
|  |  | 6, rolls) <br> (5.6 rols) |  | AO |
|  |  |  | 8 or 9 (rolls) (8.4 not accepted) | A1 |
|  |  | (As 3cm is already rounded up, allow number of rolls rounded down) |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5(\mathrm{~b})(\text { (i) Takings }(50(\mathrm{p}) \times168=) \\ &(£) 84 \text { or } 8400(\mathrm{p}) \end{aligned}$ | B1 | FT 'their 168' from (a)(ii) |  |  |
| $\text { Costs } \begin{aligned} 4 \times(£) 2.50 & +7 \times(£) 1.10 \\ & +7 \times 52(\mathrm{p}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { M1 } \end{aligned}$ | FT from (b)(i) 'their number of rolls' $\times$ 52p provided >1 |  |  |
| $(=£ 10+£ 7.70+£ 3.64=)$ <br> (£) 21.34 | A1 | If units are given they must be correct FT $£ 17.70+52 \mathrm{p} \times$ 'their number of rolls', for any number of rolls |  |  |
| Profit ( $£ 84-£ 21.34=$ ) <br> (£) 62.66 | B1 | FT 'their 84' provided 50(p) $\times$ 'their 168 attempted and 'their ( $£$ ) 21.34 ' provided at least M1 previously awarded |  |  |
| 6(a) $245^{\circ}$ | B1 |  |  |  |
| 6 (b) $150^{\circ}$ | B1 |  |  |  |
| 6(c)(i) 17:30 | B1 |  |  |  |
| 6(c)(ii) 22:10 | B1 |  |  |  |
| $\text { 7. } \quad \begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{a}=55^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{b}=76^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{c}=104^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{d}=104^{\circ} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \end{aligned}$ | FT $180^{\circ}$ - 'their b' <br> FT $180^{\circ}$ - 'their b' or FT 'their $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| 8(a) 13 to 18 | B1 | Do not accept if '13 to 18 ' and ' 8 ' both given in response, allow ' 13 to 18 ' with '(8)' |  |  |


| 8(b) 'No' selected or unambiguously implied with a reason, e.g. <br> 'insufficient data', 'only asked 14 people', 'a biased group of friends', 'she only asked her friends' 'because she has not asked a random sample (of people in Wales).' | E1 | Do not accept, e.g. 'No' with 'most people own less than 12 pairs of shoes', <br> 'she only asked 12 people' 'she has not asked which age group', 'because she could have asked a particular sex or age' <br> Allow, e.g. 'only $x$ people were asked' where $x=13$ or $x=15$ only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8(c) Shows more than 3 groups between 1 and 18, which are: <br> - non-overlapping <br> - exhaustive groups | B2 | Allow if the final groups goes to beyond 18 pairs <br> Do not count 'none' or ' 0 ' as a group Groups do not need to be of equal width <br> B1 for more than 3 groups between 1 and 18 meeting 1 of the 2 bullet point conditions <br> Do not accept, e.g. <br> - 'men, women, children' or <br> - sizes listed <br> without groups for the number of pairs <br> Ignore inclusion of number of people shown in their groups |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 9(\text { a }) \text { (Jade saves each week) } & 72 \times 0.21 \\ \text { or } 7.2(0)+7.2(0)+0.72 & (=£ \\ 15.12) & \\ \text { (Total savings } 15.12) & \times 20 \end{array}$ (£) 302.4(0) <br> (Jade's father pays $£ 350-302.40=$ ) <br> (£) 47.6(0) | M1 M1 A1 B1 | Do not accept '1512' without indication of pence, unless used correctly in working These 2 M marks can be awarded in either order, i.e. $72 \times 20(=1440)$, followed by $\times 0.21$ <br> CAO <br> FT 'their $£ 302.40$ ' provided <br> - a percentage calculation using 72 has been involved AND <br> - provided their answer is < (£) 350 |
| 9(b)(i) $65000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ | B1 |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 9 \text { (b)(ii) } 100-\frac{3}{25} \times 100 \text { or } \frac{(25-3)}{25} \times 100 \\ 88(\%) \end{array}$ | M1 A1 | Or equivalent <br> Allow M1 for 88/100 <br> If no marks, award SC1 for an answer of or sight of $12(\%)$ provided it is not from incorrect working |
| 9(b)(iii) $a b c+\pi a^{2} c$ | B1 |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 9(c) \(35 \times 9 \div 45\) or \(35 \div 5\) or equivalent 7 (cm) or 70 mm \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Allow with incorrect place value from conversion of units \\
CAO. Do not accept an answer of: \\
- 70 without units (mm) \\
- 7 or 70 with incorrect units
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
10(a) \\
or \\
in any orientation
\end{tabular} \& B1 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Allow intention of straight lines and right angles \\
Do not accept if clearly two parallelograms attached rather than rectangles \\
If the roof is split into two rectangles, they must meet along one side and intention must be to draw two identical rectangles (or squares)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
10(b) Sight of any of the following: \\
- \(4.2 \times 50000(\mathrm{~cm})\) \\
- 210000 \\
- \(4.2 \times 500(\mathrm{~m})\) \\
- \(1 \mathrm{~cm}=0.5 \mathrm{~km}\) \\
- \(2 \mathrm{~cm}=1 \mathrm{~km}\) \\
- an answer to a product involving \(4.2 \times \ldots\) with leading digits ' 21 ' \\
\(210000(\mathrm{~cm}) \div 100 \div 1000\) or \(4.2 \times 0.5\) or equivalent calculation that would lead to a correct answer ( 2.1 km )
\end{tabular} \& B1

M1

A1 \& | Ignore inclusion of incorrect units, allow intention of $\times 4.2$ by 50000 given, i.e. allow if leading digits ' 21 ' seen |
| :--- |
| FT 'their $4.2 \times 50000$ ' $\div 100 \div 1000$ evaluated incorrectly |
| CAO | <br>

\hline | 10(c) |
| :--- |
| Correct pair of arcs (or arc) cutting the road appropriately |
| Correct use of these arc intersections to create a pair of intersecting arcs | \& B1

B1 \& | Tolerance of $\pm 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ throughout |
| :--- |
| Alternative for $1^{\text {st }}$ two B1s: |
| B2 for arcs towards drawing a kite, e.g. from $B$ and $C$ with radii $A B$ and $A C$ respectively (no B1 possible) | <br>

\hline Correct placement of the new turbine shown \& B1 \& | Allow this mark if arcs not shown, or spurious arcs seen. |
| :--- |
| Allow for sight of intersection of a straight line with the road |
| If $\mathrm{BO}, \mathrm{B} 0, \mathrm{BO}$ award SC 1 for correct construction with arcs shown for the perpendicular bisector of the Bryn to Cwm road, then FT for possible final B1 | <br>


\hline Correct evaluation of appropriate measurement $\div 2$ $3.5(\mathrm{~km})$ to $3.7(\mathrm{~km})$ \& B1 \& | Allow this mark if arcs not shown, or spurious arcs seen. |
| :--- |
| (Note: if perpendicular bisector is drawn the final distance is 3.3 km to 3.5 km ) | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| 11(a) Uniform scale from at least 5 (seconds) to at least 65 (seconds), AND time label |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B1 | Accept 'seconds' as the time label, do not accept if only attached to values on the scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correct format of a box-and-whisker |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B1 | Do not ignore additional lines drawn End stopper lines omitted can be ignored <br> FT for unambiguous indications of the following: |
| Showing least time 5 seconds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B1 | On the graph paper |
| Showing UQ 55 seconds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B1 | On the graph paper |
| Correct plotting upper end whisker at 65 seconds, LQ at 23 seconds AND median at 45 seconds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B1 | On the graph paper |
| 11 (b) $0.75 \times 240$ or equivalent 180 (text messages) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} 1 \\ & \mathrm{~A} 1 \end{aligned}$ | Allow sight of '75\% of 240' <br> If no marks, award SC1 for an answer of 60 (text messages) |
| 12(a) $\quad(96 \div 8=) 12$ or $96 \div 12=8$ or $8 \times 12=96$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B1 | May be implied by consistent position pattern +12 indicated |
| 1 | 2 18 | 3 <br> 30 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 78 | 8 90 | B1 | CAO |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sight of 12 for voucher 2 with no further working or entries is $B 0, B 0$ |
| 12(b) $100 \times 120 \div 80$ or equivalent <br> (£) 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} 1 \\ & \mathrm{~A} 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |

